Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 14:06 MDT

201 - WHITEFISH-WEST ************************ Clarification: Mon, 04-Feb-2013 15:37 MDT Two special provisions, UTILITY COORDINATION SPECIAL PROVISION & GOOD AVENUE WORK NOT PART OF THIS CONTRACT are hereby added to this contract. UTILITY COORDINATION SPECIAL PROVISION GOOD AVENUE WORK NOT PART OF THIS CONTRACT Clarification: Submitted: Wed, 06-Feb-2013 9:10 MST The DBE goal for this project is hereby waived by addenda. ***** Clarification: Submitted: Wed, 06-Feb-2013 15:03 MST Rescind Special Provision # 3 - Contract Time and replace with the following: The work begins on the effective date stated in the "Notice to Proceed" and is to be completed within 170 working days. The Notice to Proceed date for this contract will be April 15, 2013. Contract time will be suspended when all work required in the Utility Coordination Special Provision has been completed and the only remaining work being performed is traffic control for the utility relocation. This change will be made by addenda. ************************ ***** Clarification: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 10:45 MST Submitted: Special Provision No. 54, CONCRETE FOR BRIDGES is clarified as follows: The Cement Content Range in the Batch Table pertains to Total Cementitious Materials including Portland cement, silica fume, fly ash, slag, etc. This also applies to the W/C ratio. Also Class SD and SD-Overlay Concrete must contain silica fume. The table should be interpreted as giving the contractor the option to use a combination of fly ash and silica fume OR slag and silica fume in the given ranges. ********************** Clarification: Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:53 MDT An Addendum has been posted for this project. Please click on the following link to access the information: ADDENDUM To download the addendum bid file, click here: BID FILES ************************* Clarification:

A 2nd Addendum has been posted for this project.

Please click on the following link to access the information: ADDENDUM NO. 2

To download the addendum bid file, click here: BID FILES

-1-

Submitted: Thu, 31-Jan-2013 16:47 MST

Company: Penhall Company

Contact: Eric Blackburn

Ouestion:

Requesting Full Set As-built drawings for the existing bridge scheduled for

removal Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 01-Feb-2013 13:08 MST

The requested as-built bridge plans are located at the following link: $\underline{\text{AS-}}$

BUILTS 1755-1762

The files represent the as-built drawings for the structures. MDT provides them for informational purposes only.

They do not include drawings for modifications to the structures, such as joint replacements and quardrail revisions

and may not completely represent current conditions. Thus, some of the information contained in these documents

may be out of date or not applicable with regard to the advertised project.

The contractor should not rely solely on

the as-built drawings provided for bidding purposes nor does any data in these files supersede the data in the $\,$

contract documents.

-2-

Submitted: Tue, 05-Feb-2013 10:45 MST Company: Cretex Concrete Products Contact: Gary Williams

Question:

Schedule of Items 603442000 calls for RCP IRR 200 MM CL 3 - no precaster

makes 8" (200MM) RCP - Cretex would

propose substitution of 12" (300MM) IRR Class 5. Please advise.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 6-Feb-2013 9:30 MST

The size of this pipe may not exceed 200MM/8". Provide pipe as called out in

the bid package. An acceptable

substitute is pipe that will meet MDT Standard Specifications 708.05 PVC

GRAVITY SEWER AND DRAIN PIPE.

Payment will be made under bid item 603442000.

-3-

Submitted: Tue, 05-Feb-2013 15:26 MST Company: Cretex Concrete Products Contact: Gary Williams

Question:

Storm Drain Detail Sheet 38 calls for East Jordan Iron Works 7750 MI Grate

per the C.O.W. detail. Can "or

approved equal" castings be supplied. Alternative equals can usually save money.

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 11-Feb-2013 11:10 MST

Supply the material called out in the bid package. Other castings will not

be approved.

Fri, 22-Feb-2013 8:26 MST Revised:

Notification:

Kennedy Jenks Consultants are currently working on the Whitefish River

environmental cleanup for Burlington

Northern/Santa Fe railroad. They will be conducting barge work in the Whitefish river and plan on being

done by July 2013, however this time frame could vary. The work consists of transporting material by barge

up the river under the Whitefish River bridge to the rail yard and transporting equipment to their work area

downstream of the bridge. All work associated with the Whitefish River

Bridge and the Detour bridge must be coordinated with Kennedy Jenks. Please contact Rob Hagler - Engineer with

Kennedy Jenks (406) 730-1343 for

further information.

-4-

Submitted: Wed, 06-Feb-2013 14:44 MST

Company: West Company, Inc. Contact: Mark Johnson

Question:

Are there any time of year restrictions on the demo of the old bridge and riprap placement, etc? Low water?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 11-Feb-2013 11:13 MST

There are no timing restrictions for work in the Whitefish River due to

permits. However, the contractor must

coordinate with the Glacier Challenge Multi-Sport Relay Race organizers.

Contact information for this event

can be found at: THE GLACIER CHALLENGE

This event will require access across the bridge and on the river. The event takes place on July 6, 2013.

Revised: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 11:54 MST

Notification:

Kennedy Jenks Consultants are currently working on the Whitefish River environmental cleanup for Burlington

Northern/Santa Fe railroad. They will be conducting barge work in the Whitefish river and plan on being

done by July 2013, however this time frame could vary. The work consists of transporting material by barge

up the river under the Whitefish River bridge to the rail yard and transporting equipment to their work area

downstream of the bridge. All work associated with the Whitefish River Bridge and the Detour bridge must be

coordinated with Kennedy Jenks. Please contact Rob Hagler - Engineer with Kennedy Jenks (406) 730-1343

for further information.

-5-

Submitted: Thu, 07-Feb-2013 14:53 MST

Knife River Company:

Contact: Kurtis Paulson

Question:

1) Will you please post the Microstation and Geopak files for this project?

2) Will you please post the entire geotechnical report for this project?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 08-Feb-2013, 11:24 MST

1) The design files for the requested project are posted on the MDT FTP site for your use at: ${\tt DESIGN\ FILES}$

The requested files do not represent the staked project, but are only design files. The Department

cannot guarantee the accuracy of the electronic data, particularly as it may be called up by your

computer, nor does any data in these files supersede the data in the contract documents.

In addition, the Department will not make any revisions to the electronic files pertaining to the

staked project, change ordered work, or changes that are made during construction to fit field conditions.

2) Attached are PDF Files of the available project alignment and/or structures geotechnical report(s),

geotechnical report supplements, and geotechnical laboratory summaries. There is remaining geotechnical

information that is voluminous and very difficult to compile in a concise manner.

Contractors are welcome to come to MDT Headquarters to inspect soil and/or rock samples taken for the $\,$

project that are stored here or to look through the complete set of Geotechnical field investigation notes,

laboratory testing, analytical, or other data in our project files.

It should be noted that the project may have undergone significant changes during the design process after

the original geotechnical report and supplements were issued. Thus, some of the information contained in

these documents may be out of date or not applicable with regard to the advertised project. Some of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Some}}$

changes include, but are not limited to: Project splits (for funding, ROW issues, etc.); alignment and grade

changes; and changes due to environmental factors (sensitive areas, etc.).

The documents can be found at: **GEOTECHNICAL REPORT**

-6-

Submitted: Tue, 12-Feb-2013 09:10 MST

Company: Sandry Construction Contact: Chuck Pisk

Question:

Special provision 67, Water Distribution and SP 69 Sanitary Sewer Collection System, states that type 1 pipe

bedding is included in the pipe price. Please advise as to where we can get the gradations of the Typel pipe

bedding that is called out.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 13-Feb-2013 09:37 MST

Type 1 bedding refers to the City of Whitefish Standard Drawings. The Gradation for Type 1 Bedding is attached.

TYPE 1 BEDDING

-7-

Submitted: Wed, 13-Feb-2013 10:38 MST Company: Northwest Pipe Fittings

Contact: John Rippe

Question:

In reference to Special Provisions 74. Pre-Insulated Pipe section a)

Restrained mechanical joints for C-900 PVC.

Would you please clarify or be more specify as to what will be require for a

 $\hbox{restrained mechanical joint for the}\\$

bridge crossing pipe.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 10:29 MST

Provide a restrained joint pipe system on the water line between 5+25.60 and 5+83.09. Provide a restrained joint

pipe system on the sewer line between 5+22.79 and 5+85.04. Furnish C900 DR18 PVC restrained joint pipe

joined using separate non-metallic couplings or a bell and spigot system.

Acceptable products include Eagle Loc

900 manufactured by JMEagle, Certa-Lok C900 RJ manufactured by CertainTeed Corporation, and Diamond Lok-21 $\,$

manufactured by Diamond Plastics Corporation or approved equal. Non-insulated restrained joint pipe is measured

and paid as PVC Water (or Sanitary) Pipe. Where mechanical joint fittings are called for in the restrained joint pipe ${}^{\prime}$

system, use restrained mechanical joints. Furnish mechanical joint restraints meeting the requirements for special

fittings in the Water Distribution System provision. The cost of the mechanical joint restraint is incidental to the cost of the fitting.

-8-

Submitted: Thu, 14-Feb-2013 11:32 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

1) All Tees for Hydrant leads are called out as $300\,\mathrm{mm}$ MJ Tee's. - Would A change to $300\,\mathrm{mm} \times 150\,\mathrm{mm}$

MJ x Flg or MJ x MJ be acceptable?

2) The Hydrant @ Sta. # 12+69.25 states Provide bends to install hydrant @ proper height. Would that be

an acceptable statement for the other 5 hydrants that are over 2.286m Bury Depth? (Hydrants in question

are 4+08.25, 5+08.59, 6+16.10, 8+08.00, & 11+75.49).

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 08:39 MST

- 1) The change from 300mmx150mm FLGxMJ or MJ tees would be approved.
- 2) Fire hydrants, other than 12+69.25, will meet the specified bury depth without the use of bends.

-9-

Submitted: Fri, 15-Feb-2013 12:43 MST

Company: Lumen FX

Contact: Frank Dugas

Ouestion:

1) On item $\#617\ 303\ 100$ - Decorative Luminaire Pole - Type 1. Will equal

substitutions be considered, or does

the City of Whitefish have a single source preference?

2) On item $\#617\ 303\ 101$ - Decorative Luminaire Pole - Type 2. There is no manufacturer listed. Is it safe to

assume that any product that meets the spec will be OK to bid, or does the City of Whitefish have a single

source preference?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 11:55 MST

1) The type 1 pole was identified in a Public Interest Finding as Mountain States Lighting. Equal substitutions

will not be considered.

2) The type 2 pole is not single source specific. Poles will have to meet contract specifications.

-10-

Submitted: Mon, 18-Feb-2013 14:10 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Regarding the Summary Sheet 15 concerning "Sidewalk" and "Curb", would the

State please provide the removal

quantities for both the existing sidewalk and the existing curb?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 12:31 MST

The approximate quantities for sidewalk, curb & gutter and curb are:

Sidewalk 960 m2 Curb and Gutter 280 m Curb 45 m

-11-

Submitted: Mon, 18-Feb-2013 14:14 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Regarding bid items 601 011 115 "Abandon Existing Main - Storm" and 601 011 116 "Abandon Existing Main -

Water", would the State please provide details of what exactly is supposed to be included in both of these items?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 13:24 MST

Abandon Existing Main - Storm

This item covers the storm drain main removal or plug and abandon. The

 $\hbox{removal and disposal of the storm drain}\\$

inlets, manholes and related piping are paid under the bid items Remove Drop Inlet and Remove Manhole. The

removal or plug and abandon of the storm drain main between the manholes is paid as Lump Sum. The contractor $\frac{1}{2}$

will determine whether the storm drain main is removed or plugged and abandoned depending on their operation.

Abandon Existing Main - Water

This item covers the water main removal or plug and abandon. The contractor will determine whether the water

main is removed or plugged and abandoned depending on their operation.

-12-

Submitted: Mon, 18-Feb-2013 14:29 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Based on Special Provision 28 "Maintenance of traffic and work sequence",

item "G." which refers to the placement

of a paved surface prior to winter shutdown. Considering the State's

construction phasing which does not show a

"pms" surface until phase three and the fact that there can be very little work done until August 1st due to utility

conflict work needing to be accomplished first, would the State consider just adding a "Temporary Paving" item to

be used if necessary?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 8:45 MST

MDT will not add a bid item for temporary paving. The contractor is to

follow Special Provision #28, Maintenance

of Traffic and Work Sequence.

-13-

Submitted: Mon, 18-Feb-2013 14:50 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

On Sheet "SS12", "Sanitary Sewer Plan & Profile, "Sewer B5", the run from MH B-4 to MH B5-1 extends about

15 meters beyond the shown scope of work on Sheet 23 & Sheet 52. Where is the cost for the asphalt and

concrete restoration for the affected area going to be paid under?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 11:53 MST

See Standard Specification 603.04.1. Restoration of asphalt and concrete

surfaces is not measured for

payment but is included in the pipe lengths measured.

-14-

Submitted: Mon, 18-Feb-2013 19:55 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Would the State please provide the quantities (and size if you have that information) of the storm drain pipe

removals? The manhole and inlet removals are quantified. It is extremely difficult to determine from the plans

where exactly the old lines are. For instance, Sheet 44 of the "Storm Drain Detail", focusing on the O'Brien

Ave interchange, there is so much information stuck into that intersection that it is difficult to determine where

the existing storm drain lines are going that need to be removed.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:13 MST

Drain pipe removal lengths are not available. Existing laterals are shown in the plans in grey scale for

informational purposes. The contractor will determine whether the storm

drain main is removed or plugged and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

abandoned.

-15-

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 08:41 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

On plan LS2 in detail #5 is states "Mill Finish" aluminum edging but in

Special Provisions page 89 it states

"Black Finish" can you confirm the correct finish on edging.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 11:57 MST Revised: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 8:13 MST Aluminum edging should must be "Mill Finish".

-16-

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 08:45 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

Do the Hanging Basket Assembly's consist of 1 or 2 baskets per light pole?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 11:24 MST

One basket per light pole.

-17-

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 09:19 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

Is gravel required to be installed around rootbarrier areas?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 12:00 MST

Detail 7, page LS2 shows either 76 - 102 MM gravel or soil surrounding the root barrier. Gravel is not required.

-18-

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 11:44 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

Is the backflow preventer in a meter vault or above ground protected by a

cage?
Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 9:00W MST

There is one new backflow preventer and it is above ground and protected by a

cage.

-19-

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 12:33 MST

Company: DMC

Contact: Julie Petrou

Question:

Where can I get information regarding the color (integral and shake on) mix

for the retaining wall?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:42 MST

It is intended that the color of the retaining wall be such that it can be

achieved during casting or

after installation. Please refer to the table under Special Provision #43,

Architectural Treatment-

Stone Veneer, as a guideline to color variations of the retaining walls.

-20-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 10:08 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

Irrigaiton summarys for sod areas are showing both PVC and Polyethylene

lateral piping. If Polyethylene

is approved can 100PSI be approved also?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 12:30 MST

Poly can be used for all laterals and 100psi is acceptable.

-21-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 10:29 MST

Company: Sletten Construction Contact: Chad Mares

Question:

Per Special Provision No. 35, it states to isolate the in stream work zone from flowing water and minimize

turbidity. Is a sheetpile cofferdam going to be required for that work like

it states to install a wall

type cofferdam while removing the existing substructures in the active stream bed?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 15:26 MST

The contractor will comply with all permit conditions in Special provision No. 20 Stream Protection Authorization when conducting work in and around the Whitefish River. Special Provision No. 35 requires that all work in-stream be isolated from flowing water and to minimize turbidity. Contractor is responsible for determining method for isolating work zone from flowing water based upon site conditions when submitting for temporary facility permits.

-22-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 10:47 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

Both the irrigation and landscape sheets are showing 1:500 scale? Can you

confirm this is the correct

scale for both?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 14:13 MST

Sheets LS 13 & LS 14 are 1:250 scale, not 1:500 scale.

-23-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 10:51 MST Company: Sletten Construction

Company: Sletten Construction Chad Mares

Question:

Is the previous bridge footing (prior to existing) shown on sheet B3 required to be removed and if so would

it be paid for under the Remove Structure bid item?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 13:00 MST

The previous structure footing will be required to be removed. The top of the existing footing matches the

channel bottom. It is believed to be approximately 4 feet wide and 21 feet long. These measurements are

not exact but rather approximate. Include all costs associated with the removal of this footing in the bid item "Remove Structure."

-24-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 10:52 MST

Company: DMC

Contact: Julie Petrou

Ouestion:

On page 99 of the "Special Provisions", section 6 in the last sentance, it says "Provide Permanent surface

coloration". Can you please give clarification? Even the best integral concrete dye fades over time.

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:52 MST

Provide surface coloration that meets industry standards.

-25-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:24 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Special Provision 35 - Riprap Excavation states "isolate the in-stream work

zone from flowing water to

minimize turbidity."

Please provide clarification to this statement. Does this require the installation of cofferdams?

Does it require the area to be dewatered? Can the riprap be placed directly into the water?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 15:23 MST

The contractor will comply with all permit conditions in Special provision #20 Stream Protection Authorization

when conducting work in and around the Whitefish River. Special Provision # 35 requires that all work in-stream

be isolated from flowing water and to minimize turbidity. Contractor is responsible for determining method for

isolating work zone from flowing water based upon site conditions when submitting for temporary facility permits.

-26-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:26 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Special Provision 17 - Vibration Monitoring

Does MDT have a list of local Montana contractors that provide this type of service and have worked on similar MDT projects?

Due to the duration of vibratory compaction on this project it would seem more applicable to have a

local contractor and would be most cost effective.

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:48 MST

MDT does not have a list of contractors/consultants who provide these

services.

-27-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 12:32 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Special Provision 67.D.3 states "Payment for water service connections is made at the contract unit price bid per each, which includes tapping the main,...."

Special Provision for Tapping part 2) Service line tapping procedures states "City personnel will perform tap and operate all valves"

These seem to contradict each other. Can you please clarify who is performing this work?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:45 MST

Service Line Installation

1) Provide all work and materials for the complete service line installation, including trench excavation and backfill;

making the water main tap; furnishing and installing the corporation stop, curb stop and box, service clamp where

necessary, and service line with fittings to make the connections to the stops. Bend the service line adjacent to the

water main into a figure "S" in a horizontal or vertical plane to avoid a rigid connection. Assure all services have a

minimum 6 feet (1.85 meters) of cover measured as specified in the Contract Documents.

2) Mark the water service line end according to the requirements of the Contract Documents.

Tapping. Tap the newly installed water mains unless specified otherwise.

1) Main line tapping procedures. Contractor to provide, install and test tapping sleeve and valve. City of Whitefish

(City) personnel are to be contacted to witness test. City personnel will perform tap and only City personnel will

operate valves on City system. Tapping will not occur on Fridays or the day before a governmental holiday.

2) Service line tapping procedures.

Contractor to provide and install saddle and corporation stop, perform tap and operate all service valves.

-28-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 12:44 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Regarding Stormwater Treatment System - Design 1 & Design 2, would the State please clarify what the bottom

elevation of both of these structures will be? With the proposed size pipe going through these structures, they are $\frac{1}{2}$

usually on the order of 15 FT - 20 FT deep. If this is the case on this project, we will be into the water zone from the

river and additional measures may need to be made in order to set these so it would be pertinent to know the $\,$

bottom elevation.

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 14:52 MST

The bottom elevation of the treatment structure is dependent upon the selected treatment system. For this reason it is not feasible to provide this information.

-29-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 13:03 MST

Company: LHC, Inc.

Contact: David Steely

Ouestion:

Regarding the State's "Construction Phasing Detail" on Sheets 48 & 49, would the State please clarify how we

would be able to construct the utilities on the South side of the road with regard to the storm drain under

"Phase 1" when the Stormwater Treatment System Design 2 structure will be directly underneath, and in very

close proximity to the temporary bridge and detour path? This would appear to pose potential conflicts.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 8:21 MST

The construction phasing shown in the plans is one way to approach the project. The contract allows the

contractor the option of submitting alternate phasing for approval.

-30-

Wed, 20-Feb-2013 13:07 MST Submitted:

Schellinger Construction Co., Inc. Company:

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Specifically in the roadway area from approximately Station 10+00 to 12+00

there will be a vertical cut of

approximately 6' to subgrade from the existing roadway elevation when

performing the street excavation in

Phase 2 construction.

Can this vertical cut between the work zone and travel way be isolated with the use of roadway coning or will it require the installation of temporary barrier rail? If temporary barrier rail is required would MDT consider adding a unit price bid item for the temporary barrier rail as well as impact

attenuators for driveway access breaks if required?

What will be the allowable vertical distance required from the travel way to the elevation of the work zone?

With this elevation difference between phases how will the contractor be able to maintain safe and adequate

ramps for driveway access?

Answer: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 12:50 MST

A bid item will be added by addendum for the transport, installation , maintenance and return of water filled

barrier owned by MDT. The barrier will be picked up at the Montana Department of Transportation Maintenance

yard located at milepost 5.2 on West Broadway in Missoula. Contact Jack May (406-523-5803) with MDT in Missoula

for access. Follow all manufacturer's recommendations for installation. Replace any barrier damaged in placement

or transport at no cost to the department. There are 90 pieces in the Missoula yard to transport - 45 will be

returned to Missoula and 45 will be delivered to the Columbia Falls yard. Contact Gary Engman (406-751-2010) for

ccess.

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 13:56 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Special Provision 70-B-1) states "Test the sanitary sewer system for final

acceptance by the Project Manager to

conclude the warranty period, as follows: a) Perform T.V. Inspection of the

mains...

Can you please clarify? Will the sewer mains be required to be T.V.

inspected 2 years after the project is

completed?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:45 MST

The mains will be required to have two TV inspections, one prior to final

acceptance and one at the end of the

two-year warranty period.

-32-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 14:03 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

In addition to question 31 - will electronic leak detection for the new water

mains be required to be performed 2 years after the project is completed?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:47 MST

Electronic leak detection will not be required two years after the project is

complete.

-33-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 14:20 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

In regard to the Utility Coordination Special Provision, once the clearing and grubbing, construction of detour

structure, and construction of listed retaining walls are completed will the contract time be stopped and then

commence again at the completion of the utility relocations (approximately) August 1st, or will contract time

be charged during the period of time that the utilities are being relocated? Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 8:21 MST

See clarification above rescinding and replacing Special Provision #3, Contract Time posted on

Wed, 06-Feb-2013 15:03 MST.

-34-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 14:21 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Ouestion:

Irrigation Summary Sheet LS1 states under Item " $25\,\mathrm{mm}$ " Drip Valve but under

the Size it states "50mm" Drip

Valve. Can you confirm the correct size for all drip valves?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:43 MST The largest and only Drip Valve is 25 mm.

-35-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 14:53 MST

Company: Pavlik Electric
Contact: John Webster

Question:

Electrical special provision 3 notes pull box lids outside of sidewalk

require cast iron lids. Will cast iron lids be

required to be bonded to metal conduits?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:42 MST

No, the cast iron lids will not be required to be bonded to the metal

conduits.

-36-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 16:20 MST Company: Neumann Construction Co. Contact: Kevin Neumann

Question:

In 2008 I was hired by TD&H Engineering to supply an excavator with an operator to dig some test holes west

of Whitefish. This work resulted in Neumann Construction being listed as a consultant on this project. I cannot

find anywhere in the specs where this may disqualify me from submitting a subcontractor proposal; however, I have

seen this as being an issue in the past. Will this be a problem or is Neumann Construction Co. approved to submit

a subcontractor pricing to the prime contractors on this project?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 12:54 MST

Neumann Construction Co. is approved to bid on this project.

-37-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 05:53 MST

Company: Sandry Construction Contact: Chuck Pisk

Question:

SP 67 water distribution system requires pressure testing of the water system upon completion. SP70 Warranty

Provisions B2a. then requires additional leakage testing through the use of "electronic leak detection

technology"...Is it required to retest the water system for leaks after two years?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 12:14 MST

Electronic leak detection will NOT be required 2 years after the project is complete.

-38-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 10:35 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Would MDT or the City of Whitefish consider detouring either Northbound or

Southbound traffic through town via

Karrow Avenue to 7th Street to Baker and back to HWY 93? Or any other

alternative route?

Due to the tight (approximately 68') R.O.W and substantial depth (approximately 18') of the numerous utilities that

will be required to be installed on the project the work area that remains with 2-lanes of traffic traveling through

this area is very narrow and will be very difficult to work in with equipment, trucks, excavation stockpile, dewatering,

and sewer bypass pumping being performed in this corridor.

Similar detours were established outside of Highway 93 through the town of Whitefish when the previous phase of

this project was constructed through downtown.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 8:31 MST

Detours through the city of Whitefish will not be considered. During the

design process such detours were

considered but the side streets were not large enough to handle the extra

traffic nor were the streets in

good enough shape.

-39-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 10:55 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Are the excavation quantities in the summaries for storm drainage, water, and sanitary sewer calculated from

existing or proposed grade?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:26 MST

The excavation quantities were calculated from proposed grade.

-40-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 10:58 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

Bore \log B-2 and B-3 state that water was encountered during drilling. The depth that groundwater was

encountered is not shown on these bore logs. Can you please provide this

Answer:

information?

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:26 MST

The note about ground water is in error for bore log B-2. Ground water was not encountered in this boring.

It was encountered at a depth of 4.85m (15.9 ft) in bore log B-3.

-41-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 11:34 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

On previous projects we have completed for the City of Whitefish they required a 2 year warranty period.

Is this a 1 or 2 year warranty period for the landscape/irrigation portion?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:26 MST One year warranty per Special Provision No. 81.

-42-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 13:38 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

The summary for Miscellaneous Items on sheet 17 of the plans lists Bypass Pumping from Station 5+33.47

to 5+76.45. Is this the only area where bypass pumping will be required for the sanitary sewer lines on

this project? If not please list all other locations that will require bypass pumping.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:07 MST

The stations listed in the plans indicate the location that continuous bypass pumping will be required.

This pumping will be paid as Lump Sum. The Diversion/Bypass Pumping detailed in section C. 7) of Special

Provision # 69 describes the bypass pumping required as the new sewer system is installed. This pumping

will depend on the contractor's operation and the cost is to be absorbed in other Sanitary Sewer bid items.

-43-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:03 MST Company: Northwest Landscaping Contact: Nichole Anderson

Question:

Can you provide a Manufacture and Model for the Concrete Polymer Pull Boxes?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 16:10 MST

The Department will not provide manufacturer information for pull boxes.

-44-

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 16:27 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Ouestion:

The Utility Coordination Special Provision states "Coordinate with Northwest Energy to verify the requirements for the temporary gas line."

What are the contractors obligations with NW Energy? The contractor will not have a subcontract or control of

NW Energy's work.

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:11 MST

The contractor will coordinate work schedule and operations with Northwest

Energy in a manner that allows the

installation of the temporary gas line.

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 16:48 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

The Utility Coordination Special Provision states that the retaining walls that are listed will be constructed within

1.22 M of the final top elevation.

Will backfill of the walls with the specified backfill material and native material be required to this elevation? If so,

what native material will the contractor have available for this use since there will be no other excavation work

occurring on the project simultaneously?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 13:07 MST

Please see Special Provision 85 - Gravity Modular Block Retaining Wall. The contractor is to provide the materials

labor and equipment necessary to design and construct the gravity retaining walls. Provide a design that will

establish \dots 4)Quantity of drainage zone material, backfill material, and foundation material. The detail on Sheet 34

only applies to the wall from station 5+28 to 5+31 Lt..

-46-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 08:43 MST

Company: DMC

Contact: Julie Petrou

Question:

In the "Special Provisions", pg. 98, section 85, Under C, Item 4, it says "The required minimum wall embedment

is 1 foot (0.3 m) or $\frac{1}{4}$ of the exposed wall height, whichever is greater. " The original specs had this listed as a

MSE wall. Our engineer shows it doesn't have to be buried that far. Is this correct, or is it an oversight regarding

the change to a gravity block wall?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 14:22 MST

Special Provision No. 85 Gravity Modular Block Retaining Wall, C. 4) is revised to say:

The required minimum wall embedment is 1 foot (0.3m) or 1/8 of the exposed wall height, whichever is greater.

-47-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:35 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Question:

Will the Traffic Control for item 409 100 000 Final Sweep and Broom be paid

as units under item 618 010 000 Traffic Control Devices CB?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 15:37 MST

Traffic Control for Final Sweeping and Brooming will be paid under Traffic

Control Devices - CB by the unit.

-48-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 12:44 MST

Company: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.

Contact: Marc Blanden

Question:

It is apparent from the surfacing, storm, sanitary sewer, and water summaries that special borrow is required

as imported trench backfill material for the utilities. Will the special

borrow be placed from the top of

the bedding zone to the proposed subgrade elevation?

Please provide a trench detail showing the limits of the bedding and special borrow.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 15:35 MST

Special borrow will be placed from the top of the bedding to the new subgrade elevation.

The bedding is to extend from $150\,\mathrm{mm}$ below to $150\,\mathrm{mm}$ above the new pipe. The trench must have a

minimum 305mm clearance on each side of the pipe, with a minimum trench width of 915mm.

-49-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 13:22 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

In response to the State's answer for question #29, Would the State consider moving the Stormwater Treatment

System (Design 2) further to the South so it is not in such close proximity to the abutment for the temporary bridge

structure and even put it outside the area of the detour. It cannot be sitting underneath the detour and temporary

bridge and still be able to hook up the new storm drain system to it.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 15:35 MST

The Stormwater Treatment System will not be moved. The contractor is responsible for scheduling and coordinating

their operations to complete the system as designed.

-50-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 14:12 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Will the bridge contractor be able to work on removal and construction of the new bridge without time being

charged while the proposed utility company relocates take place between April 15 and August 1? In talking

with some of the bridge contractors, if they are not able to start work until August 1st, there is no feasible

way they will have the decking poured this year before winter sets in. They will also run into cold weather

issues which will raise the cost of the new bridge. The bridge contractor will have 30 days of curing time

& miscellaneous finish work from the time they finish pouring the new deck surface. If they cannot start

until August 1, with our used up working days from August 1 till November 15 and some days that we'll be

charged with for clearing and grubbing, then with work still left to be done in the spring when you will still

have cooler / wetter conditions, there will not be enough time to construct this project within the current

time constraints. Would the State please consider adding an additional 40 working days due to the

complexity of this project?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 14:23 MST

As detailed in the Utility Coordination Special Provision, the contractor may submit a proposed change to the

allowed work for approval. The submitted change must be beneficial to the travelling public and department.

Demolition of the existing structure cannot take place until existing utility relocation is complete.

Contract time will remain at 170 working days.

-51-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 13:52 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

In response to the State's answer for question #38, if we are not able to restrict traffic, even with reducing traffic

down to one lane when needed for no more than 8 hours at a time, it will be extremely difficult to efficiently and

safely construct the underground utilities on the West side of the new bridge in a timely manner with having to

maintain two lanes of traffic (7.9M or 26 FT). We will be having to work around the newly installed electric,

phone, cable and gas utilities without undermining their new construction while trying install our own work

(at significant depths of 15 FT - 18 FT) in such a tight corridor. Would the State please consider adding an $\,$

additional 40 working days to aid with the time-consuming nature of the utility construction portion of this project.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 14:22 MST

The contract time will remain at 170 Working Days.

-52-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 15:37 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc.
Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

Will MDT consider changing lump sum erosion control to paying by the Unit for

all necessary work?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 22-Feb-2013 9:40 MST

"Temporary Erosion Control will remain Lump Sum."

-53-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 15:40 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc

Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

Will MDT consider removing or lowering the current DBE goal requirement per

SP #4?
Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 16:05 MST

See the second clarification from the top - the DBE goal is waived by

addenda.

-54-

Submitted: Sat, 23-Feb-2013 15:36 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

On sheet "SS11", Sanitary Sewer Plan & Profile "B4", would the State consider adding additional asphalt and

gravel quantities for complete street restoration back to the current line shown on Sheet 51 to the North of

MH B4-1 because it is noted on Sheet "SS11" that we are to "Remove existing sanitary sewer main between

Highway 93 and new manhole (MH B4-1) in Miles Ave." since this manhole is almost 19 FT deep? Thank you!

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 13:04 MST

An additional quantity of 64 tons PMS and 46 m3 of CAC will be included to reconstruct the existing surface

of Miles Ave. from station 500+25 to approximately 500+50 by addenda.

Submitted: Sat, 23-Feb-2013 15:56 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Ouestion:

On Sheet "SS11", Sanitary Sewer Plan & Profile for "Sewer B4", the "connect

to existing sewer service" on the

RT is called out as being "($150\,\mathrm{mm}$)", however, on Sheet "SS2" it is

tabulated under "Schedule 40 PVC Sanitary

Pipe 100mm" Station 340+33.00 to 340+48.00. Please clarify the correct size.

Thank you!

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 13:02 MST

The correct dimension is 150mm.

Revised: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 15:06 MST

This change will be made by addendum.

-56-

Submitted: Sun, 24-Feb-2013 18:51 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

On Sheet "WS3" Water Line Summary, Station 10+99.32, a 25 mm water service is

tabulated to the Right, however,

on Sheet WS14, Water Main Plan & Profile, this service is shown as a "50mm".

Please clarify.

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 13:10 MST

This should be a 50 mm service. An addenda will be issued to make this

change.

-57-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 09:31 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc

Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

Can MDT clarify the arrows on the cross sections that are referring to "gas,

stm, san and or wat." These are

different than the proposed new utility installations that are shown on the

same X-section. Are they meant to

show crossing of exisitng utilities that are perpinducular to the road

alingment? An example could be sta 4+90

on sheet 18 in the X-sections.

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 11:51 MST

The arrows represent the existing utilities, and they aren't only those

utilities that are perpendicular to the

road alignment. They identify the approximate horizontal location of

existing utilities in relation to the

centerline at the location where the x-section line crosses the utility line.

The depths are unknown.

-58-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 09:33 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc

Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

With question No.6 above, what density will be required on pipe bedding material during backfill operations?

Will MDT consider a straight rock type bedding so that compaction will not be an issue?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 9:40 MST

The density required will be 95% of the modified proctor value of the

material provided by the contractor.

The bedding material must meet the gradations posted above.

-59-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 09:41 MST Company: Explosives Services, Inc. Contact: Greg Rogers

Question:

17. VIBRATION MONITORING [107]

3 b) Monitor vibrations using an approved seismograph located, as approved, between the work area and the

closest structure subject to damage whenever vibration damage to adjacent structures is possible.

Due to unforeseen encountered conditions, if more than one seismograph is needed will the state pay the contractor extra for it?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 11:49 MST

It is the responsibility of the vibrating monitoring consultant to determine the equipment necessary as stated in A.3)g) - the number and type of equipment may vary based on

contractor operations, work locations, etc. All costs for monitoring are to be included in the cost of

other items.

-60-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 09:49 MST

Nelcon, Inc. Company: Contact:

Sam Weyers

Ouestion:

Can the Pedestrian Control manager be the project supt and or project manager that is discussed in special No. 28?

Also, if surface other the traffic gravel is required for temporary pedestrian control, how will it be paid?

Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 15:39 MST

The Pedestrian Control Manager is to be in direct charge of the traffic control work on the project. The Prime

Contractor's Superintendent/Project Manager is not an acceptable individual. If surfacing other than traffic gravel is required for temporary pedestrian traffic control payment will be made

under the traffic control rate schedule, group 37.

-61-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 09:54 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

With question above regarding special No. 17, does the consultant have to be on site every day that any work is

performed, including utility relocations being done by others?

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 12:44 MST

The contractor is responsible for monitoring all activities after the Notice to Proceed date. The consultant

must be on site to monitor all activities required under Special Provision 17, including those activities being

performed by utility contractors. The consultant does not need to be on site when no activities requiring monitoring are taking place.

-62-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 13:06 MST

Company: Macon Supply

Contact: Jeff Monaco

Question:

Sheet 14 of the Summary Drawings states that there is a total 334 M2 of "design and construct retaining walls".

Is that the surface area that needs the Random Wood Plank form liner?

Submitted: Tue, 26-Feb-2013 15:37 MST

The Design and Construct Retaining Walls bid item is the gravity block retaining walls. There is not a special surface

treatment specified - the type, size and facing of the blocks is to be design and submitted for approval.

The Random Wood Plank form liner shown as "Architectural Treatment" is located in the summary found on sheet B1.

The cost of this liner is to be included in the cost of "Concrete - Class DE Bridge." The summary frame on Sheet B1

under "Architectural Treatment" (m2) is hereby revised to "For Informational Purposes only".

The Stone Vencer detailed on sheet 34 is to be used on the reinforced concrete wall located at Kay Beller City Park, Station 5+28.33 to 5+31.69. The cost of this Stone Vencer is to be paid under "Architectural Treatment."

An addenda will be issued to revise the quantity for the "Architectural Treatment" from 109.70 M2 to 16.00 M2.

Revised: Wed, 27-Feb-2013 8:55 MST

The Design and Construct Retaining Walls bid item is the gravity block retaining walls. There is not a special surface treatment specified - the type, size and facing of the blocks is to be designed and submitted for approval.

The locations of the Random Wood Plank form liner are shown in the table on sheet B6. The cost of this is included in the bid item "Concrete - Class DD - Bridge" as stated in Special Provision #44 - Concrete Wall Surface Treatment.

The Stone Veneer detailed on Sheet 34 is to be used on the reinforced concrete wall located at Kay Beller City Park,
Station 5+28.33 to 5+31.69, and on the new bridge on the concrete monuments and Bent 1. These quantities are
shown in the summary on Sheet 14 and Table of Bridge Quantities on Sheet B1. The cost of this Stone Veneer is to be paid under "Architectural Treatment."

The quantities are correct and an addendum will not be issued.

```
202 - SUNBURST-SWEETGRASS
*****************
Clarification:
            Fri, 01-Feb-2013 16:55 MST
Special Provision 15 - Revise Bridge Rail is replaced with the special
provision that can be found in the
following link: REVISE BRIDGE RAIL
************************
*****
Clarification:
Submitted:
            Wed, 06-Feb-2013 9:10 MST
The DBE goal for this project is hereby waived by addenda.
*****
Clarification:
Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 13:53 MST
Sheet 12 has been revised to include a quantity for Final Sweep and Broom.
An addendum will be issued to
add the bid item, Final Sweep and Broom, 18.4 Miles. SHEET 12
*****************
*****
Clarification:
Submitted: Thu, 21-feb-2013 15:54 MDT
An Addendum has been posted for this project.
Please click on the following link to access the information: ADDENDUM
To download the addendum bid file, click here: BID FILES
***************************
*****
Submitted:
          Thu, 31-Jan-2013 15:27 MST
Company:
           Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.
Contact:
                Chris Rasmussen
Ouestion:
The plans do not include a detail drawing for the Additional Surfacing at the
various interchanges on the project.
Please provide a Detail Drawing for the Sweetgrass Interchange. How much of
the Additional Surfacing quantities
lie within the Rest Area at the Sweetgrass Interchange?
Submitted: Mon, 04-Feb-2013 08:29 MST
Quantities have been provided to chip seal all gore areas, ramps and
crossroads within the Interstate Right-of-Way
in the project limits. No work will take place within the Sweetgrass Rest
Area other than signing upgrades.
```

-2-

Submitted: Mon, 11-Feb-2013 09:34 MST Company: Highway Technologies, Inc.

Contact: Gary Eichert

Question:

Item No. $557\ 010\ 500$ REVISE BRIDGE RAIL - Can you post the as builts for the bridge that this item pertains

to or the number of posts involved that need revisions.

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 11-Feb-2013 11:15 MST

The files represent the as-built drawings for the structures. MDT provides them for informational purposes only.

They do not include drawings for modifications to the structures, such as joint replacements and guardrail revisions

and may not completely represent current conditions. Thus, some of the information contained in these documents

may be out of date or not applicable with regard to the advertised project.

The contractor should not rely solely on

the as-built drawings provided for bidding purposes nor does any data in

these files supersede the data in the

contract documents. AS BUILTS

-3-

Submitted: Mon, 11-Feb-2013 09:37 MST Company: Highway Technologies, Inc. Contact: Gary Eichert

Ouestion:

Item No. 606 010 385 REMOVE GUARD RAIL - How many of the removed post are

concrete posts?
Answer:

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 13:56 MST

Most of the remove posts are concrete. However, it is suggested that the

contractor field verify if needed.

-4-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:38 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Question:

Will the Traffic Control for item 409 000 000 Final Sweep and Broom be paid

as units under item 618 030 005 Traffic Control Devices CB?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 13:35 MST

Traffic Control for Final Sweeping and Brooming will be paid under Traffic

Control Devices - CB by the unit.

-5-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 15:42 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc

Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

Will MDT remove or lower the current DBE goal?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 16:05 MST

See the second clarification from the top - the DBE goal is waived by

addenda.

Submitted: Sun, 24-Feb-2013 23:06 MST Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Regarding Special Provision 14, "Cold Milling", is someone other than the

contractor responsible for grading

out the millings "hauled and wind-rowed" to 3rd Ave Loop Road? It does state

we have to stockpile the

remainder at a nearby location.

Answer:

Mon, 25-Feb-2013 13:31 MST Submitted:

The special provision requires notification of the County Road Supervisor so

he can have equipment on site to spread the windrowed millings.

203 - STANFORD-NORTH ************************ ***** Clarification:

Submitted: Wed, 06-Feb-2013 9:10 MST

The DBE goal for this project is hereby waived by addenda.

***** Clarification:

Wed, 20-Feb-2013 13:54 MST Submitted:

Sheet 6 has been revised to include a quantity for Final Sweep and Broom. An addendum will be issued to

add the bid item, Final Sweep and Broom, 6.2 Miles. SHEET 6

****** Clarification:

Submitted: Thu, 21-Feb-2013 15:56 MDT An Addendum has been posted for this project.

Please click on the following link to access the information: ADDENDUM

To download the addendum bid file, click here: BID FILES

***** Clarification:

Submitted: Wed, 27-Feb-2013 14:09 MST

As-built drawings of the structure(s) are available for viewing:

AS-BUILTS

MDT provides them for informational purposes only. They do not include drawings for modifications to

the structure(s), such as joint replacements and guardrail revisions and may not completely represent

current conditions. Thus, some of the information contained in these documents may be out of date or not

applicable with regard to the advertised project. The contractor should not rely solely on the as-built

drawings provided for bidding purposes nor does any data in these files supersede the data in the contract documents.

Submitted: Thu, 31-Jan-2013 16:44 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Ouestion:

Will the Department require the excess cover material to be picked up within the city limits at Stanford?

Due to the time allowed to construct this project, the pavement will have a very short period to cure.

Will the Department consider adding a Postpone Chip Seal Special Provision?

Answer:

Mon, 04-Feb-2013 15:25 MST

Yes, removal of the excess cover material is required. This work will not be measured separately for payment.

In lieu of adding the Postpone Chip Seal Special Provision, 10 additional working days will be added to the Contract by addenda.

Special Provision #2.C. is amended to read:

C. Contract Time. Contract time assessment will begin on the notice to proceed date issued by the Department or

as changed by the Contractor, whichever is earlier. Work is to be completed in 40 50 working days.

Submitted: Thu, 07-Feb-2013 13:09 MST Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc. Company:

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Ouestion:

Please furnish a quantity for Cover Material that will need to be picked up and removed in the town section at

Stanford - North.

Answer:

Thu, 07-Feb-2013 14:40 MST Submitted:

Please refer to Supplemental Specification 409.04.6. The quantity of excess cover material generated depends

on the Contractor's operations. A bid item for Final Sweeping and Brooming will be added by addenda.

-3-

Submitted: Fri, 08-Feb-2013 10:46 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Ouestion:

How many square yards of Cover Material will need to be picked up and disposed in the town section?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 08-Feb-2013 13:10 MST

Refer to the previous responses regarding excess cover material.

-4-

Submitted: Wed, 13-Feb-2013 10:39 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Ouestion:

Apparently my questions regarding the sweeping have not been clear. Basically there are two methods of sweeping excess chips:

1. Sweep them off the shoulder of the road.

2. Pick them up and dispose of them elsewhere (similar to using a dust Pan). My previous questions are asking how many square yards of each type of

brooming will be required on this project.

If pickup brooming (dust pan) will be required, what is this area expressed in square yards?

Answer:

Submitted: Thu, 14-Feb-2013 08:16 MST

Remove excess chips from the project site from the beginning of the project

to station 34+50. The total

area of seal and cover bounded by this station range is approximately 7,360 square yards.

-5-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:37 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Question:

Will the Traffic Control for item 409 000 000 Final Sweep and Broom be paid

as units under item 618 030 005 Traffic

Control Devices CB?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 15:55 MST

Traffic Control for Final Sweeping and Brooming will be paid under Traffic

Control Devices - CB by the unit.

-6-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 15:43 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc

Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

Will MDT remove or lower the current DBE goal?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 16:05 MST

See the first clarification from the top - the DBE goal is waived by addenda.

-7-

Submitted: Sun, 24-Feb-2013 21:21 MST

Company: LHC, Inc

Contact: David Steely

Question:

Regarding Special Provision 19, "Disposal of Cold Millings", is someone other than the contractor responsible

for spreading out the millings hauled & wind-rowed to Starlight County and Elevator County Roads? Thank you!

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 8:18 MST

The Contractor is only responsible for hauling and windrowing the millings as stipulated in Special Provision

No. 19, DISPOSAL OF COLD MILLINGS.

-8-

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 09:37 MST

Company: Nelcon, Inc.

Contact: Sam Weyers

Question:

It is assumed the quantity listed in special No. 19 is the amount of millings left over after the quantity for shoulder

gravel is used? Please clarify.

Answer:

Submitted: Mon, 25-Feb-2013 12:56 MST

The 3,165 cubic yards of cold millings specified in Special Provision 19

(Disposal of Cold Millings) is the total

expected to be produced, with no reduction for shoulder gravel. Use of cold millings for shoulder gravel is optional.

204 - TROUT CREEK-SOUTHEAST

Clarification:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 10:25 MST

Sheet 4 has been revised to include a quantity for Final Sweep and Broom. An addendum will be issued to

add the bid item, Final Sweep and Broom, 16.80 Miles. SHEET 4

Submitted: Thu, 21-feb-2013 15:57 MDT

An Addendum has been posted for this project.

Please click on the following link to access the information: ADDENDUM

To download the addendum bid file, click here: **BID FILES**

-1-

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 11:39 MST

Company: Pavement Maintenance Solutions, Inc.

Contact: Chris Rasmussen

Question:

Will the Traffic Control for item $409\ 000\ 000$ Final Sweep and Broom be paid as units under item $618\ 030\ 005$

Traffic Control Devices CB?

Answer:

Submitted: Fri, 22-Feb-2013 12:34 MST

Traffic Control for Final Sweeping and Brooming will be paid under Traffic Control Devices - CB by the unit.

205 - FOYS BEND STREAM MITIGATION ************************** Clarification: Submitted: Fri, 08-Feb-2013 10:42 MST

Special Provision No. 20, REVEGETATION, is hereby replaced. REVEGETATION **************************

***** Clarification:

Mon, 11-Feb-2013 15:35 MST Submitted:

Special Provision No. 8 - CONSTRUCTION SURVEY AND LAYOUT-CONTRACTOR STAKING

is hereby replaced.

SPECIAL PROVISION NO. 8

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 16:06 MST Company: Forestoration, Inc. Contact: Andrew Beltz

Question:

Under Special Provisions "Tree and Shrub Planting", an 12 inch 11 gauge

landscape staples be used to secure burlap rolls in place?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:24 MST

Landscape staples are not an acceptable alternative for 12 inch wooden stakes

to secure the burlap

rolls in place.

Submitted: Tue, 19-Feb-2013 16:16 MST Company: Forestoration, Inc. Contact: Andrew Beltz

Ouestion:

Under Special Provisions "Rodent Protection", the construction requirement specifies using the plant container

secured in place by notched wood stakes to provide protection. A standard one gallon container is 7 inches tall.

After burying the bottom 3 inches, only 4 inches remain above ground. Could a 12 inch 11 gauge landscape staple

be used in lieu of an 18 inch wood stake?

Answer:

Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:44 MST Submitted:

Landscape staples are not an acceptable alternative for the notched wooden stakes. For 1 gallon containers, a

12-inch notched wooden stake is an acceptable alternative for the 18 inch stake.

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 09:36 MST Company: Forestoration, Inc. Contact: Andrew Beltz

Ouestion:

- 1) Under Special Provisions "Bio-engineered Bank", under Materials B1 it states that live willow stakes with a
- $1\ 1/2$ inch diameter are to be used to secure the fascine in place. Under the same heading B3, it states the

willow stakes are to be 3/4 inch diameter are to be used to secrue the fascine in place. Please clarify what

diameter stakes are to be used.

- 2) Is there a specific willow species to be used?
- 3) Will the FWP boat launch at Foy's Bend be available to launching a boat to collect willow cuttings along $\,$

the river?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:34 MST

- 1) Willow stakes used for securing the fascine are to be between 3/4 (0.75) and 1 1/2 (1.50) inches in diameter.
- 2) No specific species of willow is required.
- 3) FWP has stated that the boat launch on the Foy's Bend property will be available for use in collecting willows along the river.

-4-

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 11:10 MST

Company: Forestoration, Inc.
Contact: Andrew Beltz

Question:

Under Special Provisions "Revegetation Construction Requirements", the paragraph under #5 states that willow

stakes are to be at least 18 inches in length. Three sentences later, it states that stakes are to be no

less than 3 feet in length. Could you please clarify? Is it 1500 3 foot stakes or 1500 18 inch stakes to be installed?

Answer:

Submitted: Wed, 20-Feb-2013 12:28 MST

Potential bidders should refer to the revised Revegetation special provision posted earlier in the Q&A forum. The

information concerning willow stakes has been removed and can be found in the Bio-Engineered Bank special provision.